UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,082	01/11/2006	Stanislaus Martinus Petrus Mutsers	4662-125	7100
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 10/19/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/564,082	MUTSERS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wayne Langel	1793				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioner to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	July 2009					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	· —						
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10,14 and 15</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-70,74 and 70</u> is are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) <u>17 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement					
		or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabie et al, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicants'

Argument, that the granulator of Rabie et al only contains sprayers – not atomizers, is not convincing. Rabie et al disclose at col. 3, lines 54-56 that the second solution was pumped into the fluidized bed granulator through four atomizing nozzles. The four atomizing nozzles of Rabie et al are considered to constitute "atomizers".

Claim 13 is objected to as based on a rejected parent claim, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

Claims 1-10, 14 and 15 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/564,082 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793